

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO BOLISO Alexandra, Vigunia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

10 076,747

02.13.2002

Susana Salceda

DEX-0315

1833

26259

07 23 2003

LICATLA & TYRRELL P.C. 66 E. MAIN STREET MARLTON, NJ 08053

EXAMINER

WILDER, CYNTHIA B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1637

DATE MAILED: 07/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)
	10/0	76,747	SALCEDA ET AL.
Office Action Sumi	mary Exar	miner	Art Unit
	Cynt	hia B. Wilder, Ph.	D. 1637
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY P THE MAILING DATE OF THIS Companies.  - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date.  - If the period for reply specified above is less.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the service of the servic	OMMUNICATION.  The provisions of 37 CFR 1 136(a) In of this communication than thirty (30) days, a reply within to maximum statutory period will apply period for reply will, by statute, cause the months after the mailing date of	no event, however, m he statutory minimum o and will expire SIX (6) he application to becor	ay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication the ABANDONED (35 U S C § 133)
1) Responsive to communication	ation(s) filed on <u>23 July 20</u>	<u>002</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This acti	on is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>			
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pendir	ng in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) The translation of the formula 15) Acknowledgment is made of			
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P</li> </ol>			view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  be of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  r:
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Su	ımmary	Part of Paper No. 6

Art Unit: 1637

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - 1. Claims 1-5, 7-10, 15 drawn to an isolated nucleic acid, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
  - II. Claims 6 and 14, drawn to a hybridization method for determining the presence of ovary specific nucleic acid (OSNA), classified in class 435, subclass 6.
  - III. Claim 11 and 15, drawn to an isolated polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
  - IV. Claim 12, drawn to an antibody, classified in class 424, subclass 134.1.
  - V. Claims 13, drawn to ligand binding assay to detect OSNA, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
  - VI. Claim 16, drawn to a method of treating patient, classified in class 544, subclass 12.
  - VII. Claim 17, drawn to a vaccine, classified in class 424, subclass 186.1.

#### **Sequence Election Requirement Applicable to All Groups**

In addition, each Group detailed above reads on patentably distinct SEQ ID Numbers. Each sequence is patentably distinct because the sequences are structurally unrelated sequences, and a further restriction is applied to each Group. Applicant must further elect a single SEQ ID NO. Applicant must specifically identify each of the corresponding SEQ ID NO: X, SEQ ID NO: Y for the sequence elected along with the corresponding elected claims.

Art Unit: 1637

#### MPEP 803.04 states:

Nucleotide sequences encoding different proteins are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another. The sequences are thus deemed to normally constitute independent and distinct inventions within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide sequence or amino acid sequence is presumed to represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to a restriction requirement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121 and 37 CFR 1.141 eq seq. By statute, "[i]f two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in one application, the Commissioner may require the application to be restricted to one of the invention". "35 U.S.C. 121." Pursuant to this statute, the rules provided that "[i]f two or more independent and distinct invention are claimed in a single application, the examiner in his action shall require the Applicant....to elect that invention to which his claim shall be restricted". 37 CFR 1.142(a). See also 37 CFR 1.141(a).

Applicant is advised that examination will be restricted to only the elected SEQ ID NO. and should not to be construed as a species election. Non-elected sequences in multiple sequence claims will be withdrawn from prosecution.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I, III, IV and VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are structurally and functionally distinct. For example, invention I is drawn to an isolated nucleic acid which is composed of nucleotides and functions in methods of nucleic acid hybridization and amplification whereas invention III is drawn to an isolated polypeptide which is composed of amino acids linked by peptide bonds and arrange in complex combinations of alpha helices, beta pleated sheets, hydrophobic and hydrophilic domains. The polypeptide can function as fusion proteins with enzymatic functions or in ligand/receptor binding assays. Invention IV is drawn to an antibody which differ from the other inventions in that it is composed of amino acids linked by peptide bonds. Antibodies are glycosylated and their

Art Unit: 1637

tertiary structure is unique, where four subunits associated via disulfide bonds form into a Y-shaped symmetric dimer. The antibodies function in immunoassays. The vaccine of invention VII differs from the other inventions in that the vaccine of invention VII is a preparation comprising viral matter and nucleic acid or polypeptide sequences that is administered to produce or artificially increase immunity to a particular disease. The different inventions are patentably distinct requiring different fields of search.

- 3. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product comprising the isolated nucleic acid of invention I can be used in a materially different process besides that of invention II. The isolated nucleic acid of invention I can be used in methods of amplification to determine a variant sequence of interest or in nucleic acid cloning or purification or antisense or aptamer studies.
- 4. Inventions III, IV and V, VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the polypeptide of invention III and the antibody of invention IV can be used in a materially different process besides in the methods of invention V, VI. The antibody and polypeptide can be used in methods of methods of mutagenesis or in two-hybrid system or in receptor ligand binding studies.

Page 5

Application Control Number: 10 076,747

Art Unit: 1637

- 5. Inventions VII and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the vaccine of invention VII can be prepared by and used by another materially different process to induce an immune response against another disease or condition.
- 6. Inventions II, V, VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation resulting in different effects. For example, the method of invention II is drawn to a hybridization assay to detect the presence of OSNA in a sample comprising the use of nucleic acid sequences as probes whereas the method of invention V is drawn a ligand binding assay to detect the presence of OSNA in a sample comprising the use of polypeptide sequences. Invention VI differs from the other inventions in that is drawn to a method of treating a patient via method steps of administering to the patient a compound or drug which induces a response against a disease or condition. The different inventions are patentably distinct requiring different fields of search.
- 7. Inventions VII and II, V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation leading to different effects. For example,

Art Unit: 1637

the method of invention II is drawn to a hybridization assay to detect the presence of OSNA in a sample comprising the use of nucleic acid sequences as probes whereas the method of invention V is drawn a ligand binding assay to detect the presence of OSNA in a sample comprising the use of polypeptide sequences. Invention VII differs from inventions II and V in that the vaccine of invention VII is a preparation comprising viral matter and nucleic acid or polypeptide sequences that is administered to produce or artificially increase immunity to a particular disease.

- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any one Group is not required for any other Group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia B. Wilder, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 305-1680. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9:30 am to 6:30 pm and on Friday from 9:30 am to 1:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (703) 308-1119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 308-8724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 0196.

Cynthia B. Wilder, Ph.D.

Examiner Art Unit 1637

cbw July 13, 2003